

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,341	04/18/2006	Wee Soon Ching	1138.P042US/GDL/jt	9682	
38556 7590 100662008 LAWRENCE Y.D. HO & ASSOCIATES PTE LTD 30 BIDEFORD ROAD, #02-02, THONGSIA BUILDING			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BITAR, NANCY		
SINGAPORE, 229922 SINGAPORE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576,341 CHING, WEE SOON Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NANCY BITAR 2624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 22-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-21,27 and 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2624

### DETAILED ACTION

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 5-21, 27-28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only—, and/or, —cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 5-21, 27-28 has not been further treated on the merits.

3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/576,341

Art Unit: 2624

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional internelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry. 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim(s) 22-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 22-26defines a "system for automatic inspection of a micro array slide "which appears to be a computing apparatus ". computer program" embodying functional descriptive material. . However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be

Art Unit: 2624

statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed "a computer program "can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Art Unit: 2624

#### **Examiner Notes**

4. Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- Claims1-4; 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganz et al (US 6,558,623).

As to claims 1 and 22, Ganz et al teaches automatic inspection of a microarray slide, comprising: a keyboard for instructions/data input (The computer controlled passfail determination technique determines individual spots as pass or fail based on several criteria. For each slide, the camera system scans a region to look for a spot. In a

Application/Control Number: 10/576,341

Art Unit: 2624

preferred embodiment the criteria that are applied to that inspection region are spot presence, spot size in area, spot location, and spot geometry. Additional criteria can be added through software configuration. Each of the criteria can have upper and lower limits designated which define the acceptable values for that particular criteria, column 2, lines 1-26); a display for showing images (figure 37); an image capture unit for capturing a gray scale image of the microarray slide (camera 12):

a slide holding and transporting means for delivering the microarray slide to the image capture unit for image capturing (figure 41, platform 182; column 9, lines 53-column 1053); a control means for controlling the operation of the slide holding and transporting means; and a processing unit for receiving instructions/data from the keyboard, sending image signals to the display, receiving the image data from the image capture unit (note that any value that falls outside of the limits for any criteria qualifies that spot and slide as failed. The actual inspection values are determined by analyzing the grayscale intensity of each pixel. The total number of pixels falling above and below a threshold are tallied to give values for each of the inspection criteria, and sending controlling instructions to the control means; wherein the processing unit is electrically connected to the keyboard, the display, the image capture unit and

electrically connected to the keyboard, the display, the image capture unit and the control means via separate bus lines (As explained above, after each slide has been spotted, camera 12 and strobe 13 scans the slide and acquires images and inspects for spot quality. It is at this point that the control system identifies the slide as pass or fail. In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, an operator monitoring the spotting

Application/Control Number: 10/576,341

Art Unit: 2624

process via monitor 305 (FIG. 37) has the option of correcting a slide that has failed. column 6. lines 5-60).

As to claims 2 and 23, Ganz et al teaches the image capture unit comprises a detection means for capturing the image of the slide (camera 12), and a light source for providing the slide with illumination (light provided by strobe; column 8, lines 46-64).

As to claims 3 and 24, Ganz et al teaches the detection means is selected from the group consisting of a charge-coupled device (CCD), a charge injection device, a photodiode array, and a scanner (camera, 12, figure 37).

As to claim 4 and 25, Ganz et al teaches the detection means is a CCD camera ((camera, 12, figure 37, claim 16).

As to claim 26, Ganz et al teaches the light source is a directional frontal lighting system (column 8, lines 46-64).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose telephone number is (571)270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jinge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Nancy Bitar 9/9/2008